



Iowa Strategy to Reduce Nutrient Loss: Nitrogen Practices

This table lists practices with the largest potential impact on nitrate-N concentration reduction (except where noted). Corn yield impacts associated with each practice also are shown as some practices may be detrimental to corn production. If using a combination of practices, the reductions are not additive. Reductions are field level results that may be expected where practice is applicable and implemented.

| | Practice | Comments | % Nitrate-N Reduction ⁺ | % Corn Yield Change ⁺⁺ |
|---------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | Average (SD*) | Average (SD*) |
| Nitrogen Management | Timing | Moving from fall to spring pre-plant application | 6 (25) | 4 (16) |
| | | Spring pre-plant/sidedress 40-60 split Compared to fall-applied | 5 (28) | 10 (7) |
| | | Sidedress – Compared to pre-plant application | 7 (37) | 0 (3) |
| | | Sidedress – Soil test based compared to pre-plant | 4 (20) | 13 (22)** |
| | Source | Liquid swine manure compared to spring-applied fertilizer | 4 (11) | 0 (13) |
| | | Poultry manure compared to spring-applied fertilizer | -3 (20) | -2 (14) |
| | Nitrogen Application Rate | Nitrogen rate at the MRTN (0.10 N:corn price ratio) compared to current estimated application rate. (ISU Corn Nitrogen Rate Calculator – http://extension.agron.iastate.edu/soilfertility/nrate.aspx can be used to estimate MRTN but this would change Nitrate-N concentration reduction) | 10 | -1 |
| | Nitrification Inhibitor | Nitrapyrin in fall – Compared to fall-applied without Nitrapyrin | 9 (19) | 6 (22) |
| | Cover Crops | Rye | 31 (29) | -6 (7) |
| Oat | | 28 (2) | -5 (1) | |
| Living Mulches | e.g. Kura clover – Nitrate-N reduction from one site | 41 (16) | -9 (32) | |
| Land Use | Perennial | Energy Crops – Compared to spring-applied fertilizer | 72 (23) | |
| | | Land Retirement (CRP) – Compared to spring-applied fertilizer | 85 (9) | |
| | Extended Rotations | At least 2 years of alfalfa in a 4 or 5 year rotation | 42 (12) | 7 (7) |
| | Grazed Pastures | No pertinent information from Iowa – assume similar to CRP | 85 | |
| Edge-of-Field | Drainage Water Mgmt. | No impact on concentration | 33 (32) | |
| | Shallow Drainage | No impact on concentration | 32 (15) | |
| | Wetlands | Targeted water quality | 52 | |
| | Bioreactors | | 43 (21) | |
| | Buffers | Only for water that interacts with the active zone below the buffer. This would only be a fraction of all water that makes it to a stream. | 91 (20) | |

⁺ A positive number is nitrate concentration or load reduction and a negative number is an increase.

⁺⁺ A positive corn yield change is increased yield and a negative number is decreased yield. Practices are not expected to affect soybean yield.

* SD = standard deviation. Large SD relative to the average indicates highly variable results.

** This increase in crop yield should be viewed with caution as the sidedress treatment from one of the main studies had 95 lb-N/acre for the pre-plant treatment but 110 lb-N/acre to 200 lb-N/acre for the sidedress with soil test treatment so the corn yield impact may be due to nitrogen application rate differences.



Iowa Strategy to Reduce Nutrient Loss: Phosphorus Practices

Practices below have the largest potential impact on phosphorus load reduction. Corn yield impacts associated with each practice also are shown, since some practices may increase or decrease corn production. If using a combination of practices, the reductions are not additive. Reductions are field level results that may be expected where practice is applicable and implemented.

| | Practice | Comments | % P Load Reduction ^a | % Corn Yield Change ^b |
|---|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Average (SD ^c) | Average (SD ^c) |
| Phosphorus Management Practices | Phosphorus Application | Applying P based on crop removal – Assuming optimal STP level and P incorporation | 0.6 ^d | 0 |
| | | Soil-Test P – No P applied until STP drops to optimum | 17 ^e | 0 |
| | Source of Phosphorus | Liquid swine, dairy, and poultry manure compared to commercial fertilizer – Runoff shortly after application | 46 (45) | -1 (13) |
| | | Beef manure compared to commercial fertilizer – Runoff shortly after application | 46 (96) | |
| | Placement of Phosphorus | Broadcast incorporated within 1 week compared to no incorporation, same tillage | 36 (27) | 0 |
| | | With seed or knifed bands compared to surface application, no incorporation | 24 (46) | 0 |
| | Cover Crops | Winter rye | 29 (37) | -6 (7) |
| | Tillage | Conservation till – chisel plowing compared to moldboard plowing | 33 (49) | 0 (6) |
| | | No till compared to chisel plowing | 90 (17) | -6 (8) |
| Land Use Change | Perennial Vegetation | Energy Crops | 34 (34) | |
| | | Land Retirement (CRP) | 75 | |
| | | Grazed pastures | 59 (42) | |
| Erosion Control and Edge-of-Field Practices | Terraces | | 77 (19) | |
| | Buffers | | 58 (32) | |
| | Control | Sedimentation basins or ponds | 85 | |

^a - A positive number is P load reduction and a negative number is increased P load.

^b - A positive corn yield change is increased yield and a negative number is decreased yield. Practices are not expected to affect soybean yield.

^c - SD = standard deviation. Large SD relative to the average indicates highly variable results.

^d - Maximum and average estimated by comparing application of 200 and 125 kg P₂O₅/ha, respectively, to 58 kg P₂O₅/ha (corn-soybean rotation requirements) (Mallarino et al., 2002).

^e - Maximum and average estimates based on reducing the average STP (Bray-1) of the two highest counties in Iowa and the statewide average STP (Mallarino et al., 2011a), respectively, to an optimum level of 20 ppm (Mallarino et al., 2002). Minimum value assumes soil is at the optimum level.

^f - P retention in wetlands is highly variable and dependent upon such factors as hydrologic loading and P mass input.